Factors Affecting Design Review: A Case from Dang Valley, Nepal-Asia

Amrit Kumar Dahal *, A. K. Mishra**, & P. S. Aithal***

** Engineer, Province Government, Province No. 1, Infrastructure Development Office, Bhojpur, Nepal. E-mail: ami10_25@hotm
*Post-Doctoral Research Scholar, Srinivas University, India and Associate Professor, Madan Bhandari Memorial Academy Nepal, Urlabari3, Morang, Nepal. OrcidID: 0000-0003-2803-4918; Email: anjaymishra2000@gmail.com
** Professor, College of Management & Commerce, Srinivas University, Mangalore, India. OrcidID: 0000-0002-4691-8736; E-mail: psaithal@gmail.com

Subject Area: Project Management. Type of the Paper: Action Research. Type of Review: Peer Reviewed as per <u>[C|O|P|E]</u> guidance. Indexed In: OpenAIRE. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6350839</u> Google Scholar Citation: <u>IJAEML</u>

How to Cite this Paper:

Dahal, Amrit Kumar, Mishra, A. K., & Aithal, P. S. (2022). Factors Affecting Design Review: A Case from Dang Valley, Nepal-Asia. *International Journal of Applied Engineering and Management Letters (IJAEML)*, 6(1), 160-175. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6350839</u>

International Journal of Applied Engineering and Management Letters (IJAEML) A Refereed International Journal of Srinivas University, India.

Crossref DOI : <u>https://doi.org/10.47992/IJAEML.2581.7000.0127</u>

Received on: 20/02/2022 Published on: 15/03/2022

© With Authors.



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Non-Commercial 4.0 International License subject to proper citation to the publication source of the work. **Disclaimer:** The scholarly papers as reviewed and published by the Srinivas Publications (S.P.), India are the views and opinions of their respective authors and are not the views or opinions of the S.P. The S.P. disclaims of any harm or loss caused due to the published content to any party.



Factors Affecting Design Review: A Case from Dang Valley, Nepal-Asia

Amrit Kumar Dahal *, A. K. Mishra**, & P. S. Aithal***

** Engineer, Province Government, Province No. 1, Infrastructure Development Office, Bhojpur, Nepal. E-mail: ami10_25@hotm

*Post-Doctoral Research Scholar, Srinivas University, India and Associate Professor, Madan Bhandari Memorial Academy Nepal, Urlabari3, Morang, Nepal OrcidID: 0000-0003-2803-4918; Email: <u>anjaymishra2000@gmail.com</u>

** Professor, College of Management & Commerce, Srinivas University, Mangalore, India OrcidID: 0000-0002-4691-8736; E-mail: <u>psaithal@gmail.com</u>

ABSTRACT

Purpose: There seem some flaws during design by consultants because of time and cost constraints. To deal with this problem there is a need for a design review for those design parts to assess their compliance for implementation. The overall objective of the research is to analyze the causes of the design review of small farmer-managed surface irrigation schemes developed by the Community Irrigation Project (CIP) about Dang Valley.

Design/Methodology/Approach: Based on selected fifteen surface irrigation schemes developed by CIP in Dang Valley, a Questionnaire survey, interviews, and observations were done along with the intensive study of Feasibility Assessment Report, project-related datasheets, and As-Built Drawings. These data have been analyzed using the Relative Importance Index (RII).

Findings/Result: The study revealed that faulty survey, design, and change in local demand by users are the major reasons to change the design. The major four significant factors to cause design change in small surface irrigation schemes as obtained from the Relative Importance Index (RII) were survey leading to (1) the efficient system, (2) design with costeffective and sustainable approach, (3) social issues, and (4) unforeseen site condition. It indicates that for the better construction and performance of FMIS there is a need for improvement in the survey, design, and other social issues like the mechanism of distributing equal water to all the beneficiary farmers.

Originality/Value: The care against the influence of unnecessary political factors with due consideration of technical, social, environmental as well as the financial viability of schemes will be assured through the provision of a flexible Cost ceiling. Concept of design through extended team will be maintained.

Paper Type: Action Research

Keywords: Irrigation, Cost Effective, Causes, FMIS, Survey

1. INTRODUCTION :

Modernization in irrigation in Nepal was introduced with the construction of Chandra Canal in Saptari district which was planned and designed according to North Indian Irrigation Technology. Many medium and large irrigation systems have been constructed using this technology. In the mid-hills, bottom trash rack type intake structures were constructed during the 1980s and 1990s as an appropriate modern technology, which is suitable for Boulder Rivers with less fine sediments. The status of design review in road and bridge project have been analysed by Mishra and Aithal (2022) [1] followed by Dahal et al (2022) [2] in same project draw further attention to continue their research.

The decision regarding where to place structures and what types of structures to build need to be made with the farmers while in the field (Jacob, 1995) [3]. The pragmatic design of irrigation systems is always a critical issue in a developing country like Nepal. Design is a rigorous process in which various factors such as topography, geology, agricultural aspects, materials for construction, and other management factors need to be assessed in detail. However, in Nepal, coordination between designing agencies and management agencies seems very weak in practice. Due to this reason, the review of



design during construction is often taken. So, this type of frequent change in components between design and implementation is one of the problems affecting worldwide in construction industries and mostly in developing countries like Nepal.

2. PROBLEM STATEMENT :

It is a continuous research of Dahal et al [2]. If a design is not reviewed properly inefficient structures cannot function properly for their optimum capacity while if reviewed can take time increasing cost and time along with delay in service delivery. So proper analysis for design review is needed to check whether it is beneficial or not. However minimum design modification with the proper functioning of structure is always desirable. So, finding causes of change and analysis of design review is an interesting topic for the research. To date, there has been very little research regarding the variation of the small-scale irrigation project. Therefore, the need for research in this field is necessary to find the cause of change and narrow the gap between the design and construction activities of small-scale irrigation projects in Nepal. Dang Valley was selected as a study area as it contains hills as well as the Inner Terai region. The findings can be useful to both Terai and Hill areas.

3. OBJECTIVES :

To identify and assess the significant factors affecting in design review.

4. LITERATURE REVIEW :

4.1 Design Review in Construction Projects:

Design review of Takulla Khola bridge in Kalikot district at Ch 183+250 of Surkhet Jumla section was done because of landslide problem in right abutment. After the original design left side abutment was already constructed. During the right-side abutment construction, it was felt that design review is necessary. After the design review foundation depth was increased and footing was also increased from 1.3m to 1.5m (DoR, 2014) [4]. In this case, unforeseen site condition was seen as the main cause for the design review.

Chameliya Hydropower project was undergone for design review due to squeezing of headrace tunnel from Ch 3+100m to 3+900m. The main factor for squeezing was rock mass instability and rock stresses. This problem created cost as well as time overrun to complete the project (Basnet, 2013) [5]. Unforeseen site condition was seen as the major factor to change the design in the construction stage causing heavy cost increment.

4.2 Design review of Badkapath Irrigation Project:

The Badkapath Irrigation Project (BpIP) area was located on the left bank of Rapti River in Deokhuri valley of Dang district of Province no.5. The proposed command area of the project was 4000 ha which covers the cultivable area of Gadhawa rural municipality. The design discharge of the canal is 9m³/s with a canal slope of 1:3500. Geographically, this area lies within 82°32' to 82°47' East longitude and 27° 48' to 27°50' North latitude The intake is located on the left bank of Rapti river at about 1.3 km upstream from the East-West highway bridge at Bhalubang (DoI, 2014) [6]. The scope of works under the present contract covered mainly the following works:

- Construction of Intake,
- 350 m long Main Canal (In 1st package)
- Protection Works.

BpIP in consultation with the DOI had felt necessary to review the design of the project especially the intake and main canal at the head reach before starting the actual construction work. Design Review Report was the outcome of the review of the feasibility study and design of the intake and main canal of the project. The main objective of the work was to review the design of intake, main canal, and associated structures and to prepare a technical design review report (DoI, 2014) [6]. Major design review aspects Badkapath Irrigation Project:



S.N.	Aspects of design review	Methodology
		Aspects of implementation arrangement, contractual provision and
1	Planning and Design	farmer friendly design
2	Hydrologic Re-assessment	Flood frequency analysis
		Checking in compliance with relevant codes, guidelines and good
3	Review of Hydraulic Design	practices
		Aspects related to loads, design method adopted, materials proposed
4	Review of Structural Design	along with guidelines and codes

Table1: Design review aspects of BpIP

(DoI, 2014)[6]

Table 2: Design reviewed structures and their causes

					Nature of
S.N.	Canal structures	Original design	Revised design	Causes	causes
					Political
		Skewed intake(122 ⁰)	Frontal intake	Administrative causes	causes
1	Intoko	Rectangular orifice	Revision of dimension	To control flow	Technical
1	Шаке		Addition of breast wall	To check flood	Technical
			Addition of height of		
			guide bund	To check afflux level	Technical
				To remove sediment	
2			Trapezoidal section with	and to prevent from	
	Main canal upto (0+375km)	Rectangular section	lining	scouring	Technical

(DoI, 2014)[6]

As per DoI (2014) [6] main structures that were reviewed in Badkapath Irrigation Project were intake and main canal which are very common problems in Terai and Inner Terai region. Technical and political causes were dominant to change the design aspects of BpIP in Dang.

For the design of Badkapath intake, 50 years return period flood was considered which comes to be 3,527.05 m3/s from Log Pearson Type-III. The adopted flood of 3,550 m3/s was based on the analysis. In the original design, the adopted design flood was 2,550 m3/s. Hence, the adopted design flood is about 28 percent higher than that of the original design flood. However, on 26 July 2016, the largest flood ever experienced in the Rapti river since 1976. During the flood event, the recorded maximum gauge height was 8.50 m at Bagasoti station (Station no. 350) which is 5km upstream of the headwork site. Using the rating curve developed by DHM in 2009 the maximum instantaneous discharge was 4480 m³/s. In analyzing with records this flood magnitude is more or less 200 years return period flood magnitude which is verified from Table 3 (CMS, 2016).

Return	Flood Flow, m ³ /s									
Period			Pearson	Log Pearson	Gumbel					
(Year)	Normal	Log Normal	Type-III	Type-III	(EV1)					
2	1440.2	1273.72	1316.78	1271.96	1320.35					
5	2054.2	1929.85	1991.01	1928.42	1965.09					
10	2375.15	2398.02	2417.79	2400.69	2391.96					
25	2717.41	3023.29	2936.01	3032.22	2931.32					
50	2938.5	3511.15	3304.91	3527.05	3331.45					
100	3137.38	4017.04	3660.32	4040.97	3728.62					
200	3322.43	4552.81	4006.49	4579.39	4124.34					

Table 3 : Summary of Flood Flow Analysis of Rapti River

(CMS, 2016)



The major damages observed after the flood was:

- Outflanking through the left bank.
- Dislocation of the tail portion of the right-wing wall from its original alignment.
- Filling up of head regulator with gravel and boulders.
- Silting up of gravel trap.
- Washout of downstream earthen embankment thereby subsiding the gabion protection works.



(CMS, 2016)

Fig. 1: Completed Part of Badkapath Intake Fig. 2: Damaged Intake after the flood

The proposed remedial measures for damaged structures are:

- Increase of freeboard on the intake walls.
- Close of the outflanked part.
- Concrete barrel after the head regulator canal.
- Protection works upstream of intake.
- Close the detached part of the right-wing wall.
- Bypass of the incoming local drain.
- Shifting of the sand and gravel trap.
- Scour protection at the upstream wall.
- Realignment of the canal at highway crossing (CMS, 2016) [7].

The size of the orifice is an important factor in the design of side intake. A very small orifice does not allow sufficient discharge while a large orifice can allow flood water to enter and cause damage to the canal (ILO, n.d.). The study of conjunctive use of groundwater with surface irrigation can be an alternative design for year-round irrigation in Dang Valley (DoI, 2016) [2, 8].

4.3 Value Engineering:



Fig. 1: Application of Value Engineering [9]



According to the value engineering system, the effective and key factors in the success of the project from the team member's point of view should first be identified and defined. These criteria will be the evidence in valuing and grading the ideas in the process of optimizing value engineering. The standards emphasized by the value engineering team members in Jofair IDN areas are outlined in the following list (Rezania et al., 2011) [10].

- 1. Protection of the environment
- 2. Ease of operation
- 3. Ease of construction
- 4. Reduce the investment costs
- 5. Possibility of producing the materials or supplying them locally
- 6. Access to construction technology
- 7. The possibility of construction and operation of the project in different phases.
- 8. Reduce the operation costs.

4.4 Value Engineering Application in Jofair Irrigation and Drainage Network, South West of Iran

Table 4 shows that optimization of design can be done using the value engineering concept. It supports addressing specific problems and assists in the sustainability of the system.

Using a Low Pressure Irrigation System									
Prelimineary	Suggested								
Design	Design	Merits	Demerits						
		Improvement in transfer							
		efficiency, reduction in wasted	Need of excessive						
Trapezium		agricultural lands,ease and	head, need of pressure						
concrete canals	Use of Pipes	speed of implementation.	regulating structures						
Reflection on the o	conveyance canal t	aking note of earth quality							
		Ease in construction, no need							
	Depth upto 2.5m	to carry away sand from							
Canal depth upto	only and	excavation, reduction in	Reduction in efficiency of						
4 m and soil used	increment of	cost, sustainability and stability	water transfer, increase in						
as source material	width	of structures	ownership of lands						

 Table 4: Optimization of design using value engineering

(Rezania et al., 2011)[10]

4.5 Factors affecting design change:

Factors affecting design change:

There are various causes of variations in any construction project. The causes due to the design consultant of the project include: change in design by consultant, errors, and omissions to design, the inadequate scope of work, lack of coordination, inadequate working details, lack of experience (Sunday, 2010) [11].

• Survey

Surveying and levelling play a vital role in the construction of irrigation canals. If proper surveying is not done then the whole design works seem to be useless. This is the major task as preparation for design (Agor, 2011) [12].

The objective of surveying and mapping is to obtain information to the standards required to support decision-making while evaluating irrigation projects and to enable accurate designs to be prepared for schemes that are selected for implementation (PDSP, 1990) [13].

• Design

The design of any engineering structure has to be undertaken with proper regard for the context in which that structure will be placed, how it will be used and maintained, and so forth (Yoder, 1994) [14]. A cost-effective design takes into four types of costs:1) Initial construction cost2) recurrent maintenance costs,3) rehabilitation costs,4) costs to live. To produce a cost-effective design, a design that serves the intended purposes and is not overbuilt, requires some system of incentives to seek a low-cost design. These incentives come from some contribution from WUA, a budget ceiling for the structure, and an effective accounting system to build confidence in farmers. When farmers invest in

a structure, they need to decide on investment rules. This forces farmers to decide on how to mobilize resources. The topography has a predominant influence on both the design approach and the design product [2,14].

The potential benefits of irrigation rehabilitation could be of many folds when the rehabilitation design fits the physical and socio-technical settings of the irrigation system. The rehabilitated infrastructures should also be operated and maintained by the water users (Adhikari & Basnet, 2007) [15].

• Sustainability

The concept of sustainability assumes particular importance where transportation and communication may be rudimentary, where heavy reliance may be placed upon the mobilization of local resources for maintenance and operations, and where cost and value must be expressed in local, not national terms (Yoder, 1994) [14]. Sustainability in the context of hill irrigation refers to the ability to mobilize resources to meet expected needs continuingly to keep the system operating within tolerable limits. Sustainable development and management of water resources would require a holistic approach and

simultaneous attention to all aspects of water use and management and threaten sustainability relate to water quality management. Rapid silting up of reservoirs and canals increased flood damages due to uncontrolled development of catchment areas and lack of adequate attention to preventive and regular maintenance of water infrastructure are the major issues to be addressed (Michael, 2011) [16].

• Local Knowledge

Local knowledge plays a vital role in cost-effective and sustainable design. A valuable resource for developing irrigation is the knowledge and experience of the presence and would-be irrigators in the community. However, engineers are not trained to examine and improve traditional technology. Often, the facilities that farmers have built are not recognized as irrigation systems. The first step in the design process should be a careful assessment of all irrigation facilities that exist, determination of the experience irrigators have with the system management, and evaluation of all other locally available resources. A participatory appraisal may be supportive to collect valuable information. It is important to know who will manage operation and maintenance is an important factor in selecting among alternative designs because it will determine the complexity that can be managed and the type of material and supplies needed for maintenance (Yoder, 1994) [14].

• Materials

Materials play a very vital role in irrigation canal construction. A process that seeks to produce an efficient design should also consider the use of local material. It is also important to understand the nature of the present and future availability of these local resources. Calculation of nonlocal materials such as cement and reinforcement are a routine activity but the real cost of sand and stones are sometimes underestimated which forces the design modification (Yoder, 1994) [14].

• Environmental and social factors

Magnitude, Space, and Time scale, which signifies if the impact is likely to be short, medium, or long term (Khadka et al., 2012) [17].

5. METHODOLOGY :

This is a continuity of earlier research conducted by Dahal et ai, 2022[2] so the area of study is same.

5.1 Study Area:

Jeetpur Irrigation Sub Project

Jeetpur ISP lies in Ghorahi sub metropolitan city -11, Province no. 5 with household 122 nos. and population 634 nos. The sub- project which is 5 km far from the market centre of Ghorahi Bajar. The salient features of the ISP are as follows (DoLIDAR, 2014) [18]:

1.	Subproject Classification	:	New project
2.	Location (VDC &Ward No.)	:	Ghorahi Sub-Metropolitan city-11
3.	Ilaka	:	2
4.	District	:	Dang
5.	District Headquarter	:	Ghorahi
6.	Province	:	5



International Journal of Applied Engineering and Management Letters (IJAEML), ISSN: 2581-7000, Vol. 6, No. 1, March 2022

SRINIVAS PUBLICATION

7.	No. of Households	:	122					
8.	No. of DAG Households	:	23	23				
9.	Population	:	634					
10.	Land holding	┢──						
	Landless	:	0					
	Small /Marginal	:	107					
	Middle	:	15					
	Large	:	0					
11.	Accessibility							
	Nearest Road head	:	Ghorahi					
	Nearest Airport	:	Tulsipur					
	Nearest Market	:	Ghorahi					
Comm	and Area Characteristics	<u> </u>						
12.	Total Canal Length							
	Main Canal	:	1900 & 1500m (two canals)					
13.	Gross Command Area	:	56.30 ha					
14.	Net Command Area	:	47.8 ha					
	Existing Area	:	: 47.8 ha					
	New/Extension Area (if any)	:	None					
15.	Name of Water Source	:	Bagale Khola					
16.	Type of Water Source	:	Perennial					
17.	Catchment Area (ha)	:	3.14 sq. km					
18.	Discharge at Intake	:	90 l/s (April	flow)				
19.	Physical Facilities Proposed							
	Head works/Diversion Structure	:	Orifice type intake with gabion protect					
	Main and Branch Canal Works		Reshaping	Realign	ment			
	Main Canal	:	-	1900 m				
			-	1500m]		
			Main Canal-1(s) Main C		Main Car	nal-2(s)		
	Canal Lining Both sides	:	150 m		150 m			
20.	Present/Future cropping intensity		173% / 220	%	<u> </u>			

(DoLIDAR, 2014)[18]



Hanumanpur Mulkulo Irrigation Sub Project:

The salient features of Hanumanpur Mulkulo Irrigation Sub Project is as follows (DoLIDAR, 2013)[19]:

1.	Subproject Classification	:	Rehabilitation, Improvement				
2.	Location (VDC &Ward	:	Babai Rural Municipality-2,3				
2	No.)		Deve				
3.		:	Dang				
4.	District Headquarter	:	Gnorani				
5.	Province	:	5				
6.	No. of Households	:	200				
7.	No. of DAG Households	:	8				
8.	Population	:	1213				
9.		•	N				
		:	None				
	Small /Marginal	:	180				
	Middle	:	20				
	Large	:	0				
10.	Accessibility (Nearest Road Head)						
	Nearest Road head	:	Mahindra Highway ,28 km from project				
			area				
	Nearest Airport	:	Nepalgunj/Tulsipur-Dang				
	Nearest Market	:	Tulsipur Bazar, Dang District				
11.	CommandAreaCharacteristics	:	Terai cultivated area				
12.	Total Canal Length						
	Main Canal	:	2350m				
	Branch Canal	:	1100m				
13.	Gross Command Area	:	86.02ha				
14.	Net Command Area	:	74.0 ha				
	Existing Area	:	86.02ha				
	Extension Area (if any)	:	None				
15.	Name of Source	:	Susaune River				
16.	Type of Source	:	Perennial				
17.	Catchment Area	:	7.1 sq.km				
18.	Canal Type	:	Lined, Earthen				
19.	Canal Discharge	:	0.12 m ³ /s				
20.	Side Slope	:	1:1				
21.	Bed Slope	:	1:14 to 1:1400 (shown in L-Section)				
22.	Existing Diversion Structure	:	None				
23.	Physical facilities proposed	:					
	Main and Branch canal works (m)		Reshaping Realignment Extension				



International Journal of Applied Engineering and Management Letters (IJAEML), ISSN: 2581-7000, Vol. 6, No. 1, March 2022

SRINIVAS PUBLICATION

PAGE 169

	Main Canal	:	2200	-		-
	Branch Canals	:	1100	-		-
	- Headwork/Diversion Structure	:	Gated H/R,	- Gabion Weir		
			Main Canal		Branch C	Canals
	- Canal Lining Both sides (m)	:	150 1		-	
	- Outlet (Nos)	:			1	
	- Road crossing (Nos)	:	3		-	
	- Aqueduct	:	-		-	
	- Retaining Wall	:	-		-	
24.	Present cropping intensity	:	152%			
25.	Future cropping intensity	:	205%			



(DoLIDAR, 2013)[19]

Fig. 2: Layout Map of Hanumanpur Mulkulo ISP

Parseni Taal Irrigation Sub Project:

The salient features of Parseni Taal ISP is as follows (DoLIDAR, 2014) [20].

1.	Subproject Classification	:	Rehab Project
2.	Location (VDC &Ward No.)	:	Ghorahi Sub Metropolitan city-5
3.	Ilaka	:	13
4.	District	:	Dang
5.	District Headquarter	:	Ghorahi
6.	Province	:	5
7.	No. of Households	:	53

Amrit Kumar Dahal., et al. (2022); www.srinivaspublication.com

International Journal of Applied Engineering and Management Letters (IJAEML), ISSN: 2581-7000, Vol. 6, No. 1, March 2022

SRINIVAS PUBLICATION

9.Population: 269 10.Land holdingLandless:0Small /Marginal:45Middle:8Large:011.AccessibilityNearest Road head:GhorahiNearest Airport:TulsipurNearest Market:GhorahiCommand Area Characteristics:Ghorahi12.Total Canal Length:1100 mBranch Canal::1100 m13.Gross Command Area:47.45ha14.Net Command Area:42.00 haExisting Area::42.00 haI.S.Name of Water Source:Parseni Taal	
10.Land holding10.Landless:0Small /Marginal:45Middle:8Large:011.AccessibilityNearest Road head:GhorahiNearest Airport:TulsipurNearest Market:GhorahiCommand Area Characteristics:Ghorahi12.Total Canal Length:1100 mBranch Canal::1100 m13.Gross Command Area:47.45ha14.Net Command Area:42.00 haExisting Area::42.00 haNew/Extension Area (if:Noneany):Parseni Taal	
Landless : 0 Small /Marginal : 45 Middle : 8 Large : 0 11. Accessibility 0 Nearest Road head : Ghorahi Nearest Road head : Ghorahi Nearest Airport : Tulsipur Nearest Market : Ghorahi Command Area Characteristics : Ghorahi 12. Total Canal Length : 1100 m Branch Canal : 1100 m 13. Gross Command Area : 47.45ha 14. Net Command Area : 42.00 ha Existing Area : 42.00 ha New/Extension Area (if : None any) : Parseni Taal	
Small /Marginal:45Middle:8Large:011.AccessibilityNearest Road head:GhorahiNearest Airport:TulsipurNearest Airport:GhorahiNearest Market:GhorahiCommand Area Characteristics:Ghorahi12.Total Canal Length:1100 mBranch Canal:1100 m13.Gross Command Area:47.45ha14.Net Command Area:42.00 haExisting Area:42.00 haNew/Extension Area (if:Noneany):Parseni Taal	
Middle : 8 Large : 0 11. Accessibility	
Middle:8Large:011.AccessibilityNearest Road head:GhorahiNearest Airport:TulsipurNearest Market:GhorahiCommand Area Characteristics:Ghorahi12.Total Canal Length:Main Canal:1100 mBranch Canal:500 m13.Gross Command Area:47.45ha:42.00 haExisting Area:42.00 haNew/Extension Area (if:Noneany):Parseni Taal	
Large : 0 11. Accessibility	
11. Accessibility Nearest Road head : Ghorahi Nearest Airport : Tulsipur Nearest Market : Ghorahi Command Area Characteristics : Ghorahi 12. Total Canal Length : 1100 m Branch Canal : 1100 m 500 m 13. Gross Command Area : 47.45ha 14. Net Command Area : 42.00 ha Existing Area : 42.00 ha New/Extension Area (if : None any) : Parseni Taal	
Nearest Road head:GhorahiNearest Airport:TulsipurNearest Market:GhorahiCommand Area Characteristics12.Total Canal LengthMain Canal:1100 mBranch Canal:500 m13.Gross Command Area:14.Net Command Area:Existing Area:42.00 haNew/Extension Area (if:Noneany)15.Name of Water Source:Parseni Taal	
Nearest Airport:TulsipurNearest Market:GhorahiCommand Area Characteristics12.Total Canal LengthMain Canal:1100 mBranch Canal:500 m13.Gross Command Area:14.Net Command Area:Existing Area:42.00 haNew/Extension Area (if:Name of Water Source:Parseni Taal	
Nearest Market:GhorahiCommand Area Characteristics12.Total Canal Length12.Total Canal LengthMain Canal:Branch Canal:13.Gross Command Area14.Net Command AreaExisting Area:42.00 haNew/Extension Area (if :Noneany)15.15.Name of Water Source:Parseni Taal	
Command Area Characteristics 12. Total Canal Length Main Canal : 1100 m Branch Canal 500 m 13. Gross Command Area : 47.45ha 14. Net Command Area : 42.00 ha Existing Area : 42.00 ha New/Extension Area (if : None any) 15. Name of Water Source : Parseni Taal	
12. Total Canal Length Main Canal : 1100 m Branch Canal 500 m 13. Gross Command Area : 47.45ha 14. Net Command Area : 42.00 ha Existing Area : 42.00 ha New/Extension Area (if : None any) 15. Name of Water Source : Parseni Taal	
Main Canal:1100 mBranch Canal500 m13.Gross Command Area:14.Net Command Area:47.45ha14.Net Command Area:42.00 haExisting Area:42.00 haNew/Extension Area (if :Noneany)15.Name of Water Source:Parseni Taal	
Branch Canal500 m13.Gross Command Area:14.Net Command Area:14.Net Command Area:42.00 haExisting Area:42.00 haNew/Extension Area (if :Noneany)15.Name of Water Source:Parseni Taal	
13. Gross Command Area : 47.45ha 14. Net Command Area : 42.00 ha Existing Area : 42.00 ha New/Extension Area (if : None any) 15. Name of Water Source :	
14. Net Command Area : 42.00 ha Existing Area : 42.00 ha New/Extension Area (if : any) 15. Name of Water Source : Parseni Taal	
Existing Area : 42.00 ha New/Extension Area (if : any) 15. Name of Water Source : Parseni Taal	
New/Extension Area (if None any) 15. Name of Water Source : Parseni Taal	
15. Name of Water Source : Parseni Taal	
16. Type of Water Source : Perennial	
17. Catchment Area (ha) : 0.83 sq. km	
18. Discharge at Intake : 100 l/s	
Area of Taal (Pond)0.95 ha	
19. Physical Facilities	
Head works/Diversion Side Intake	
Structure	
Main and Branch Canal Reshaping Realignment	Extension
Works	
Main Canal & Branch : 1100 - Canal	0 m
Main Cana(s)l B C	<u>Branch</u> Canal(s)
- Canal Lining Both : 450 m 10 sides	00
- Road Crossing (Nos) : 1 -	
- Division Box (Nos) : 3 -	
- Escape (Nos) : 1	
20. Present/Future cropping : 186 % / 228 % intensity	

5.2 Collection of Data:

The opinion of the experts was taken as key informant interviews with the Chief District Engineer, District Coordinator, Project Engineer, and WUA Chairpersons of the district to find the practical

Amrit Kumar Dahal., et al. (2022); www.srinivaspublication.com

issues related to design review of small surface irrigation schemes. Secondary data was collected from respective district offices and was cross-checked in discussion with farmers.

5.2.1 Primary Data:

Primary data are those data that were collected directly in the field using various tools such as Key Informant Interview, Field Observation, and Questionnaire Survey in the field. The basic tools used as:

Key Informant Interview (KII):

For the validity and reliability of the questionnaire, key informant interviews were taken with the expert of the irrigation sector who was directly or indirectly involved in CIP schemes. The KII was based on purposive sampling as it was essential to understand the nature of schemes and their development in Dang Valley to extract the right information. Six concerned experts were chosen for the KII and all of them had experience in small irrigation schemes design and implementation.

Field visit/observation:

The field visit was done to each case study structure to find out the changes in design during the construction phase. The observation supported knowing the causes of design change and its necessity. **Questionnaire Survey:**

After the validity of the questionnaire, the questionnaires were distributed to the respondents of client, consultant, contractor, and WUA representative. Ninety percent of the questionnaire were distributed by visiting them on-site while ten percent of the questionnaires were sent by email. Most of the respondents have a positive response regarding the questionnaire. On average 92% response rate was achieved which was very high and was possible due to continuous field visits to the site.

5.2.2 Secondary Data:

Secondary data were collected from District Technical Office/CIP unit Dang. A formal letter was requested for research work and it was approved by DTO Dang and secondary data were made available in coordination with the CIP unit.

5.3 Analysis of Data:

Questionnaire survey, KII, Collection of various reports from concerned offices have been made main sources of data to express through Chart, RII, Ishikawa diagram. To find the rank of the factors Likert scale has been used to find the result. The five-point scale ranged from one to five was adopted and transformed to relative importance indices (RII) for each factor as follows (Somiah, 2015) [21]: ΣW

Z. .. RII =-----

A*N

Where,

W is the mentioned scale for rating a factor by the respondents which range from 1 to 5

A is the highest weight on the scale

N is the total number of respondents

6. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION :

6.1 Causes of design change:

Design is changed due to various factors such as inadequate design or improper one to address the actual site conditions. Sometimes local demand also changes because of the interval of time between the design and construction phase. In some cases, design is also changed due to the interest of stakeholders. Change in river morphology, landslides are some of the technical causes that enforce the design to change.

Figure 3 shows that overall, 56% of respondents believe that the major cause of design change is due to technical reasons while 36% believe that another cause of change in design is due to change in local demand. Only a few percent of respondents believe that it is due to the interest of the client and consultant.

The technical issues for design change are like as poor survey, inadequate design, and inappropriate location of structures. As per DoI (2006) one type of intake may be suitable for one specific site and may not be for other sites because all rivers and every possible intake locations are unique in behavior. Similarly, change in local demand occurs due to changes in river morphology, change in local parameters in the society.





Fig. 3: Causes of design change

6.2 Frequently changed components in the system:

In a small irrigation system change in design occurs in various parts. These parts are headworks, canal lining works, gabion protection works, branch canal, or distribution works. If head work does not perform well required discharge can't be delivered to the main canal so an efficient structure in the system is always needed to fulfill the demand from farmers.



Fig. 4: frequently changed components in irrigation system

Figure 4 shows that head works in irrigation canal is mostly changing component in design review. Overall, 56% of respondents believe that headworks design changes while 25% believe that canal lining works to change and few respondents think that other works change. From field observation, KII, and secondary data analysis it was verified that 67% of intakes were revised likewise 53% of canal lining works were revised by observing fifteen irrigation schemes.

In the inner Terai region like Dang valley river seems very turbulent with a high slope which changes the landscape. As per DoI (2014) headworks and canal lining works are the frequently changed parts during the design review process that was also seen in Badkapath Irrigation Project.

6.3 Significant factors to cause design change in small irrigation schemes:

As per the design, change is concerned various parameters in a project cycle play a pivotal role. If the survey is not done well then better design and planning can't perform well. Likewise, other parameters such as survey, construction methodology, material, manpower availability, and environmental issues also play a role to change the design. Relative Importance Index (RII) as per respondents shows the rank of factors to change the design. The causes were found from literature [22, 23, 24, and 25].



	Overa	11	Clien	t	Consu	ltant	Contr	actor	WUA	
Factors	RII	Rank	RII	Rank	RII	Rank	RII	Rank	RII	Rank
Survey	0.96	1	1	1	0.94	1	1	1	0.94	1
Design	0.92	2	1	2	0.9	2	1	2	0.89	2
Social issues	0.82	3	0.9	3	0.74	3	0.8	3	0.86	3
Unforeseen site condition	0.67	4	0.9	3	0.72	4	0.6	11	0.57	9
Construction methodology	0.66	5	0.7	6	0.66	6	0.7	5	0.6	5
Manpower availability	0.64	6	0.7	7	0.6	8	0.8	3	0.57	7
Material use	0.63	7	0.7	8	0.66	6	0.7	5	0.57	7
Environmental issues	0.62	8	0.8	5	0.72	4	0.7	5	0.43	11
Political causes	0.61	9	0.6	10	0.48	11	0.7	5	0.64	4
Management issues	0.59	10	0.6	10	0.58	9	0.7	5	0.54	10
Force Majeure	0.59	10	0.7	8	0.52	10	0.6	10	0.6	5

Table 5: Top significant factors affecting causes of design review in small irrigation projects.

According to the Client, consultant, contractors, and WUA; it was obtained that the survey was the most important factor that affects design. It was ranked first by all the parties. The relative important index (RII) by the client was 0.97, by the consultant was 0.90 by the contractor was 0.96, by WUA was 0.94 and overall, it was 0.96. As per PDSP (1990) survey is the main factor to enable accurate design for schemes.

Similarly, Design is also preferred by all the parties as the most important factor securing the second position and the value of the relative important index was 0.92 in the overall ranking. Social issues are also preferred by all the stakeholders as the most important factor to cause a change in design which is ranked in 3rdposition by all the parties. As per Yoder (1994) design is predominantly influenced by the topography of the area. Management issues, manpower availability, environmental issues, and force majeure are not supposed to be so important factors to cause design change.

Unforeseen site condition is supposed as an important factor by client and consultant whereas implementer contractor and WUA suppose it as less important. This might be seen because the client and consultant may resolve the issue before the project enters the construction phase. WUA suppose political cause as the most important factor ranking in 4th position whereas other parties think this as a less important factor to change the design. Long et al. [26] stated that differing site condition occurs when a contract finds subsurface site condition materially different than it was normally expected. These conditions prevail to change the design.

7. CONCLUSION :



PAGE 174

The main aim of the design review is to irrigate every plot of the farmers' field considering sociocultural and environmental requirements. The major four significant factors to cause design change in small surface irrigation schemes have been found as survey, design, social issues, and unforeseen site condition respectively. However other secondary factors are construction methodology, material use, environmental, social, and management issues too. The demand collection and screening should be done properly without the influence of unnecessary political factors with due consideration of technical, social, environmental as well as the financial viability of schemes. Cost ceiling should be flexible to a certain degree to incorporate the necessary structures. The communication gap between surveyor, beneficiaries, and other stakeholders should be well tracked during survey and design time. It is needed by WUA to take full responsibility to complete the allocated task to be done as a contribution to increasing the speed of construction work. Recommendation is made for awarding the work to WUA and contractor by considering a complete set of a task rather than the portion of work for better performance. Proper design review system with technically capable personnel and realistic schedule needs to be established to control design flaws.

REFERENCES :

- [1] Mishra, A. K., & Aithal, P. S., (2022). Causes and Effects of Design Change of Construction Projects. *International Journal of Applied Engineering and Management Letters (IJAEML)*, 6(1), 87-99. DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6085346.
 <u>Google Scholar ×</u>
- [2] Dahal, Amrit Kumar., Mishra, A. K., & Aithal, P. S. (2022). Operation of Design Review in Small Irrigation Projects in Dang Valley of Nepal. *International Journal of Case Studiesin Business*, *IT, and Education (IJCSBE)*, 6(1), 139-157. DOI:https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6331334. <u>Google Scholarx</u>
- [3] Jacob, S. B., 1995. Hill Irrigation Engineering with Special Emphasis on Planning, Design and Implementation of Farmer Hill Systems. New Delhi: IOE/Ford Foundation.
- [4] DoR, 2014. Design Review of Takulla Khola Bridge in Kalikot District. Babarmahal: Department of Roads. Available at www.dor.gov.np
- [5] Basnet, C.B., 2013. Evaluation on the Squeezing Phenomenon at the Headrace Tunnel of Chameliya Hydroelectric Project, Nepal. https://ntnuopen.ntnu.no/ntnuxmlui/handle/11250/236161.
- [6] DoI, 2014. Design Review Report: Badkapath Irrigation Project. Jawalakhel: Department of Irrigation. Available at www.doi.gov.np
- [7] CMS, 2016. Flood Damage Assessment Report. Jawalakhel: Department of Irrigation.
- [8] DoI, 2016. Pre-feasibility Level Study of Small Dams in Dang Valley. Jawalakhel: Department of Irrigation.
- [9] Mishra, A. K., (2019). Implementation Status of Value Management in Project Management Practice in Nepal. International Journal of Management Studies, 6(1), 92-108. Google Scholarx³
- [10] Rezania, A. R., Sharifpour, M., Meshkizadeh, M. & Zibanchi, M. H. (2011). Optimization of the Design of Irrigation and Drainage Networks Using Value Engineering-Case Study: Jofeir Irrigation and Drainage network, South West of Iran. *ICID 21st International Congress on Irrigation and Drainage*, pp.15-23.
- [11] Sunday, O. A. (2010). www.arcom.ac.uk. [Online] [Accessed 20 January 2018]
- [12] Agor, R., (2011). Surveying and Levelling. New Delhi: Khanna Publishers.
- [13] PDSP, (1990). M.1 General System Planning. Kathmandu: Sir M MacDonald and Partners Ltd.in association with MacDonald Agricultural Services P.Ltd and East Consult P.Ltd. Department of Irrigation. https://www.wecs.gov.np/storage/listies/January2021/river-training-manual-final-wecs-2020-06-15-(f)-(1).pdf
- [14] Yoder, R., (1994). Suggestions for the Design Process. Colombo: International Irrigation

Amrit Kumar Dahal., et al. (2022); www.srinivaspublication.com

Management Institute. https://publications.iwmi.org/pdf/H015392_Chapter_1.pdf

- [15] Adhikari, B. R. & Basnet, S. K. (2007). Rehabilitation of Praganna Kulo Irrigation Systems: Inputs of Farmers in Design. Irrigation in Transition: Interacting with Internal and External Factors and Setting the Strategic Actions, p.202.
- [16] Michael, A. M. (2011). Irrigation Theory and Practice. 2nd ed. New Delhi: Vikash Publishing House Pvt. Ltd.
- [17] Khadka, R. B. et al., (2012). Environmental Impact Assessment. 1st ed. Kathmandu: School of Environmental Science and Management.
- [18] DoLIDAR (2014). Feasibility Assessment Report for Jeetpur Irrigation Sub Project. Harihar Bhawan: Department of Local Infrastructure Development and Agricultural Roads. Available at https://doli.gov.np
- [19] DoLIDAR (2013). Feasibility Assessment Report for Hanumaanpur Mulkulo Irrigation Sub Project. Harihar Bhawan: Department of Local Infrastructure Development and Agricultural Road. Available at https://doli.gov.np
- [20] DoLIDAR (2014). Feasibility Assessment Report for Parseni Taal Irrigation Sub Project. Harihar Bhawan: Department of Local Infrastructure Development and Agricultural Roads. Available at https://doli.gov.np
- [21 Somiah, M. K. (2015). http://shodhganga.inflibnet.ac.in/bitstream/10603/2589/12/12_chapter% 203.pdf. [Online] [Accessed 24 January 2021].
- [22] UN (1979). Guidelines for rural central planning. New York: United Nations Economic and Social Commission for Asia and Pacific. https://digitallibrary.un.org/record/168029
- [23] Mahadik, U. A. (2015). Value Engineering for Cost Reduction and Sustainability in Construction Projects. *IOSR Journal of Mechanical and Civil Engineering*, 1(1), 95-97. <u>Google Scholar x</u>
- [24] Singh, A. M. (2010). Modernization of Farmer Managed Irrigation Systems in Nepal. Journal of Water, Energy and Environment, 6(1), 56-60. Google Scholarx³
- [25] Pandit, D. (2015). Factors Affecting Efficient Construction Project Design Development: A Perspective from India. *International Journal of Construction Supply Chain Management*, 5(2), 52-67.
 Google Scholar ×¹

[26] Long, R. J., Lane, R. J. & Kelly, J. E. (2017). Differing Site Conditions. Long International Inc.

